**QA Concept**

In our quality assurance concept, we want to target 3 main things: the efficacy of our code, number of logging statements used in comparison to the total lines of code and three metrics we have chosen to analyze our code.

These 3 main things will help us understand our code better, thus making it easier to improve the project in the future. The total lines of code will also help us visualize how we’ve progressed through the analyzed points in time.

The *code coverage* will help us determine what parts of our code has and hasn’t been tested yet. The main components we have chosen to test in this part is our *ProtocolExecutor.class* and *SBListener.class.* The *ProtocolExecutor* exectues all commands from the client. For example, sending a message to all players and other such similar client/server communications. Testing the logging process of each client, testing the card sizes at the beginning of each game, testing that the cards are being dealt correctly at the beginning is all a focus of our tests for this class. These things are all a huge contributing factor to the success of the game.

The *number of logging* statements will show us how well we’ve used the logger and how often. This is important to see as logging statements help a lot for debugging at every stage, and thus shows us how well logged our program is and how it facilitates easy debugging. The library we used for logging is called Apache Log4j2.

The *3 metrics* we have chosen to analyse here are: lines of code per method, lines of code per class and javadoc lines per class.

The *line of code per method* will help us analyze which our methods are the longest and thus have taken the most effort. This can help us find methods that can be simplified, as long methods are not great for readability.

*Line of code per class* will have a similar function, but will show us more big picture statistics about our whole program, and not just individual methods.

The *javadoc lines per class* will help us analyze which of our classes has the most descriptions. Very clear and easy to understand classes and methods do not need long javadoc descriptions and thus, by extension, a lot of lines of javadoc will mean that the corresponding class is a more complicated one.

The *total lines of code* metric will show us which classes we have invested the most work in and will also show the average length of classes. This is very useful to have an oversight of all classes and to see if there are classes where things can be shortened/branched off. It is a good way to see how well we’ve stuck to our project plan and if the parts of code were expanded around the time they were supposed to be expanded at.

We will also take a look at how many Logging statements we used (Apache Log4j2) to determine where and how well we used this library for debugging and logging purposes.

servLog (25.) – 66   
Client – 37

total: 103

***Metrics***

The data points that we have chosen are from the 5th of April, the 20th of April and the 26th of April. The 5th marked the end of MS3, the 20th was right after most of our GUI was completely implemented, and the 26th was the day before the MS4 deadline.

***Discussion code coverage:***

***Protocolexecutor doesnt test game side of things, and as such, only has 50% coverage and not a large part. Big coverage because of integration tests and not unit tests for PET, but SBLTest is a unit test. testSBL is inside SBL, and thus not covered by SBLTest as these project files don’t actually get called up.***